

# **TEST REPORT**

SLIP RESISTANCE CLASSIFICATION OF **NEW PEDESTRIAN SURFACE MATERIALS** AS 4586-2013

> Appendix A - Wet Pendulum Testing Appendix B - Dry Friction testing

**Prepared For:** 

Golden Field Corp Pty Ltd

**Product Description:** 

Oak engineered flooring from Goldenfield

Test Date:

17-06-2015







+61 (0) 411 600 733 www.sliptesting.com.au | +64 (0) 279 735 266 www.sliptesting.co.nz

**Report Prepared for:** Golden Field Corp. Pty Ltd

9-11 Hillwin Street

Page #: 1 of 1

Program #: 4004

Reservoir VIC 3073

**Test Date:** 17/06/2014

Test Site: Independent Slip Testing Services- Slip Resistance Laboratory (Lota QLD)

Testing Technician: A.Dummer

**Testing Instrument:** Mastrad Wet Pendulum Skid Tester with 4S rubber slider

Testing Instrument Serial #: SK1225 (W2)

# TESTING SPECIMENS DESCRIPTION, SIZE & COATING (If applicable)

1x Oak Engineered flooring from Goldenfield, 80x8.5cm
 1x Oak Engineered flooring from Goldenfield, 80x8.5cm
 1x Oak Engineered flooring from Goldenfield, 80x8.5cm
 1x Oak Engineered flooring from Goldenfield, 80x8.5cm

5. 1x Oak Engineered flooring from Goldenfield, 80x8.5cm

Surface Condition: Smooth Cleaning: Tested as received

 Fixed/ Unfixed:
 Unfixed
 Rz Mean:
 n/a

 Environmental Conditions:
 Air conditioning
 Air Temp:
 24 Deg.C

 Direction of Test:
 As indicated on underside of sample
 Slope:
 n/a

## AS 4586-2013

INTERPRETATION OF THE WET PENDULUM RESULTS		
Classification	Pendulum mean BPN (4S rubber)	
P5	>54	
P4	45-54	
Р3	35-44	
P2	25-34	
P1	12-24	
PO	<12	

## **TEST RESULTS**

Specimen #1 Result: 38 BPN Slider condition (P400): 87 BPN #2 Result: 39 BPN Slider condition (Lapping): 64 BPN #3 Result: 34 BPN Temperature adjustment: n/a #4 Result: 43 BPN

#5 Result: 43 BPN ^nb. lapping paper conditioning not used for 'rough' surface finishes

## **CLASSIFICATION**

CLASSIFICATION	PENDULUM MEAN BPN (4S rubber)
Р3	39

The mean results of the five specimens is reported (rounded to nearest whole number)

^ An individual result both below the result classification and below the mean result minus 20% shall be considered of lower classification

Maximum Slope Design Value (when dry):	2 deg.
Maximum Slope Design Value (when wet):	N/A

^NCC Code provides reference for ramps up to 1:8

## DISCLAIMER:

ISTS accepts no civil liability or responsibility for any actions whatsoever that may arise as a result of the tests and the publication and issue of this test report. The test report is intended for viewing purposes solely for the named recipient identified above. The slip test report remains the property of ISTS. This report contains privileged and confidential information. The unauthorised reproduction of this report is prohibited.

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. NATA is a signatory to the APLAC mutual recognition arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, calibration and inspection reports





Signatory: Mick Walton

Testing was carried out using the Wet Pendulum Test Method (using 4S rubber slider) in accordance with Australian Standard AS 4586-2013 Appendix A.



+61 (0) 411 600 733 www.sliptesting.com.au

e64 (0) 279 735 266 www.sliptesting.co.nz 📗 🏈 e+65 9390 2188 www.sliptestingsingapore.com

# WET TEST RESULTS INTERPRETATION GUIDE- NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION CODE (AUS)

#### INTERPRETING WET TEST RESULTS

Step 1	Compare description of reported test location to the most relevant location description in
	Table 24. Note the pendulum classification for that location

- Step 2 Note the pendulum classification BPN range in Table 2.
- Step 3 Compare the BPN range and classification to the actual test result.

### NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION CODE COMPLIANCE CLASSIFICATIONS

#### \* TABLE 3A

Minimum wet pedulum test or oil-wet inclining platform classifications that are deemed to satisfy the building applications in the National Construction Code

Location	Wet pendulum test	Oil-wet inclining platform test
Stair Treads and Stairway Landings in Buildings Covered by NCC		
Volumes One and Two		
Stair treads and a stairway landing (when dry)	Р3	R10
Stair treads and a stairway landing (when wet)	P4	R11
Nosings for Stair Treads and Stairway Landings in Buildings Covered		
by NCC Volumes One and Two		
Dry stair tread, a stair non-skid nosing strip and a stairway landing	P3	
Wet stair tread, a stair non-skid nosing strip and a stairway landing	P4	
Ramps in Buildings Covered by NCC Volumes One and Two		
Ramps not steeper than 1:14 gradient (when dry)	Р3	R10
Ramps not steeper than 1:14 gradient (when wet)	P4	R11
Ramps steeper than 1:14 up but not steeper than 1:8 (when dry)	P4	R11
Ramps steeper than 1:14 up but not steeper than 1:8 (when wet)	Р5	R12

NOTE: NCC compliance is demonstrated by achieving the values set out in this Table for either the wet pendulum est or the oil-wet inclining ramp test. It is not necessary to meet both criteria.

*7	ГΔ	R	ΙF	2

Classification of Pedestrian Surface Materials according to the

AS 4586-2013 wet pendulum test

Pendulum	* mean BPN	Classification	Previously stated as
Four S rubber	TRL rubber		(HB197:1999)
>54	>44	P5	V
45-54	40-44	P4	W
35-44	35-39	Р3	X
25-34	20-34	P2	Υ
12-24	< 20	P1	Z
<12	-	Р0	Z

#### TREATMENT OPTIONS

For test results that achieve a BPN result below the NCC requirements the following are options are available to increase slip resistance and reduce your risk

As a guide, possible styles of treatments we see our clients using to improve slip resistance include:

Cleaning procedures Detergent residues can build up over time with heavy detergent use. Acid etching For tiled surfaces. Can vary in performance with different tile types.

Wet sand / Soda blasting To obtain a textured finish to tiles and other hard surfaces (may require sealing).

Shot blasting More extreme treatment to wet sand blasting (may require sealing).

Textured coatings Ensure a consistent texture is achieved.

Surface replacement Replacement surface may be the most cost effective option in some locations.

For treatment suppliers in your local area search the internet for options listed above or in the yellow pages 'flooring treatments' section. ISTS recommends sourcing a number of detailed proposals when considering treatments, outlining expected slip resistance improvements, visual changes, clean ability and life expectancy.

### **ADDITIONAL NOTES & REFERENCES**

'R' Ratings The Ramp 'R' ratings are obtained using the ramp test. An 'R' rating can not be achieved for

in-situ testing. There is no correlation between 'R' ratings and wet pendulum test results.

References \*Table 3A- HB198:2014 "Guide to the specification and testing of slip resistance of pedestrian

surfaces" Standards Australia Limited 2014.

\*Table 2- AS 4586-2013 "Slip resistance classification of new pedestrian surface materials".

 $^{*}$ The information provided is intended as a guide only, consult the referenced publications for further information in regards to measurement results and recommendations.



+61 (0) 411 600 733 www.sliptesting.com.au | 📝+64 (0) 279 735 266 www.sliptesting.co.nz | 🚓+65 9390 2188 www.sliptestingsingapore.com

# WET TEST RESULTS INTERPRETATION GUIDE- PARTICULAR APPLICATIONS...NON NCC (AUS)

	INTERPRETING WET TEST RESULTS		
Step 1	Compare description of reported test location to the most relevant location description in		
	Table 3B. Note the pendulum classification for that location.		
Step 2	Note the pendulum classification BPN range in Table 2.		
Step 3	Compare the BPN range and classification to the actual test result.		

### NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION CODE COMPLIANCE CLASSIFICATIONS

### \* TABLE 3B

Wet pendulum test or oil-wet inclining platform classifications for applications where the NCC does not require slip resistance

	Wet pendulum	Oil-wet inclining
Location	test	platform test
External Pavements and Ramps		
External ramps including sloping driveways, footpaths etc. steeper than 1 in 14	P5	R12
External ramps including sloping driveways, footpaths, etc., under 1:14, external sales areas (eg. markets), external carpark areas, external colonnades, walkways, pedestrian crossings, balconies, verandas, carports, driveways, courtyards and roof decks	P4	R11
Undercover car parks	P3	R10
Hotels, Offices, Public Buildings, Schools and Kindergartens		
Entries and access areas including hotels, offices, public buildings, schools,		
kindergartens, common areas of public buildings, internal lift lobbies		
Wet area	P3	R10
Transitional area	P2	R9
Dry area	P1 (see Note 3)	R9
Toilet facilities in offices, hotels and shopping centres	Р3	R10
Hotel aparment bathrooms, ensuites and toilets	P2	Α
Hotel apartment kitchens and laundries	P2	R9
Loading Docks, Commercial Kitchens, Cold Stores, Serving Areas		
Loading docks under cover and commerical kitchens	P5	R12
Serving areas behind bars in public hotels and clubs, cold stores and freezers	P4	R11
Form #:17.4. Revision Date 18-08-2014		

Supermarkets and Shopping Centres		
Fast food outlets, buffet food servery areas, food courts and fast food	Р3	R10
dining areas in shopping centres		
Shop and supermarket fresh fruit and vegetable areas	Р3	R10
Shop entry areas with external entrances	Р3	R10
Supermarket aisles (except fresh food areas)	P1 (see Note 3)	R9
Other separate shops inside shopping centres - wet	Р3	R10
Other separate shops inside shopping centres - dry	P1 (see Note 3)	R9
Swimming Pools and Sporting Facilities		
Swimming pool ramps and stairs leading to water	P5	С
Swimming pool surrounds and communal shower rooms	P4	В
Communal changing rooms	P3	А
Undercover concourse areas of sports stadiums	Р3	R10
Hospitals and Aged Care Facilities		
Bathrooms and en suites in hospitals and aged care facilities	P3	В
Wards and corridors in hospital and aged care facilities	P2	R9

		*TABLE 2		
	Classification of Pedestrian Surface Materials according to the			
	AS	4586-2013 wet pendulum test		
Pendulum	Pendulum* mean BPN  Classification  Previously stated as			
Four S rubber	TRL rubber	Classification	(HB197:1999)	
>54	>44	P5	V	
45-54	40-44	P4	W	
35-44	35-39	P3	Х	
25-34	20-34	P2	γ	
12-24	< 20	P1	Z	
<12	-	P0	Z	

## **ADDITIONAL NOTES & REFERENCES**

'R' Ratings The Ramp 'R' ratings are obtained using the ramp test. An 'R' rating can not be achieved for

in-situ testing. There is no correlation between 'R' ratings and wet pendulum test results.

References \*Table 3B- HB198:2014 "Guide to the specification and testing of slip resistance of pedestrian surfaces" Standards Australia Limited 2014.

\*Table 2- AS 4586-2013 "Slip resistance classification of new pedestrian surface materials".

\*The information provided is intended as a guide only, consult the referenced publications for further information



+61 (0) 411 600 733 www.sliptesting.com.au | +64 (0) 279 735 266 www.sliptesting.co.nz

Report Prepared for: Golden Field Corp.Pty Ltd

9-11 Hillwin Street Reservoir VIC 3073 Page #: 1 of 1 Program #: 4004

Test Date: 17/06/2014

Test Site: Independent Slip Testing Services- Slip Resistance Laboratory (Lota QLD)

Testing Technician: A.Dummer

**Testing Instrument:** Tortus Dry Floor Friction Tester with 4S rubber slider

Testing Instrument D3- Serial #: 259

#### Testing Specimens Description, Size & Coatings (If applicable) Grange American Oak Series with UV Lacquer Finish Surface Condition: Smooth Cleaning: With a dry lint free cloth Fixed / Unfixed: Unfixed Rz Mean: n/a 24 Deg.C **Environmental Conditions:** Air conditioning Air Temp: Direction of Test: As indicated on underside of sample Slope: n/a

AS 4586-2013

INTERPRETATION of INDIVIDUAL & MEAN DRY FLOOR FRICTION RESULTS		
Class	Floor Friction Tester Mean Value	
D1	≥40	
D0	< 40	

(SRV/SCV)

**TEST RESULTS** Test Result Run 1: 0.58

Test Result Run 2: 0.66

**CLASSIFICATION** 

CLASSIFICATION	#MEAN COF (ROUNDED TO 0.05)
D1	0.60

## **Results Comments:**

- 1. \* Indicates an individual test run registered below 0.40
- 2. \*\* Indicates a test sector of an individual test run is < 0.35 resulting in a compulsory 'D0' classification
- 3. # The mean COF of Test Result Run 1 & 2 is rounded to nearest 0.05

nb. Test specimens are disposed after 1 month if not collected by client

## DISCLAIMER:

ISTS accepts no civil liability or responsibility for any actions whatsoever that may arise as a result of the tests and the publication and issue of this test report. The test report is intended for viewing purposes solely for the named recipient identified above. The slip test report remains the property of ISTS. This report contains privileged and confidential information. The unauthorised reproduction of this report is prohibited.

 $Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. \ NATA is a signatory to the APLAC mutual recognition arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, calibration and inspection reports are consistent or the mutual recognition of the equivalence of the equivalence of testing. The mutual recognition is a signature of the equivalence of the equivalence$ 

NATA Accreditation #14967





Signatory: Mick Walton



+61 (0) 411 600 733 www.sliptesting.com.au

+64 (0) 279 735 266 www.sliptesting.co.nz | \$\times\_+65 9390 2188 www.sliptestingsingapore.com

# DRY TEST RESULTS INTERPRETATION GUIDE (AU)

### INTERPRETING DRY TEST RESULTS

Note the classification result for each test location on the test report.

Compare the results to the recommended classification of 'D1'

TABLE 1			
Interpretation of the dry floor friction results			
Test Result Mean Value	Classification Result	Previously stated as 'Contribution to Risk' result	
(COF)	(AS 4586-2013)	(AS/NZS.4663.2004)	
≥ 0.40	D1	Moderate to Very Low	
< 0.40	D0	High to Very High	

### TREATMENT OPTIONS

For test results that achieve a result below the recommendations the following are options to

increase slip resistance and Reduce Your Risk!

1. Cleaning procedures Detergent residue build up or other contaminants

2. Surface sealers Lifecycle, application of sealer, product performance

3. Anti-slip treatments Coatings, etchants, sandblasting, etc.

4. Surface replacement Surface suitability

For treatment suppliers in your local area search the internet for options listed above or in the yellow pages 'flooring treatments' section. ISTS recommends sourcing a number of detailed proposals when considering treatments, outlining expected slip resistance improvements, visual changes, clean ability and life expectancy.

\*The information provided is intended as a quide only, consult the referenced publications for further information in regards to measurement results and recommendations

## FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

- 1. The mean test average is ≥0.40, however the result is 'D0' classification
- A. Individual test run achieved <0.35. 'The mean of the test results should be equal to or greater than 0.40 and each individual result should be  $\geq$  0.35. If either of this criteria is not met, the lot shall be considered to be classification 'DO'

Nb. Each test run consists of 8 individual tests.

ISTS reports note the following

- \* Indicates an individual test run registered below 0.40.
- \*\* Indicates a test sector of an individual test run is <0.35 (resulting in a compulsory 'D0' classification ).
- 2. Why are test results rounded to the nearest 0.05?
- A. As described in the relevant standards, the mean result of Test 1 & 2 is rounded to nearest 0.05
- 3. What is the classification for locations as stated in publication HB197
- A. This handbook does not provide any interpretation of dry slip test results.
- 4. How about dry testing for external areas?
- A. Dry slip resistance measurement does not apply to external surfaces, wet testing is the appropriate test method.
- 5. How do I improve the slip resistance of a surface currently achieving 'D0' classification?
- A. Many treatments and procedures are available. Treatment options will vary depending on the type of surface and whether a sealed or unsealed finish is required. Described at left are a list of options to improve slip resistance and Reduce Your Risk!



# **TEST PRODUCT IMAGE**

**Product Description:** Oak engineered flooring from Goldenfield

**Test Date:** 17-06-2015





